FEEPD. #4337 SUMISS 1534

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

RENEE CHRISTMAN,)		
Plaintiff,	Civil Action No. 05-09	Civil Action No. 05-0910	
v.			
XPRESSBET, INC., MAGNA ENTERTAINMENT,) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED)	(1)	
Defendants.	į		
)	P	

COMPLAINT IN CIVIL ACTION

AND NOW COMES, Plaintiff Renee Christman, by and through her attorney, Lois Glanby, Esq., and files this Complaint in Civil Action, and in support thereof, avers as follows:

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. This is an action for damages brought by Renee Christman against XPRESSBET, Inc. and MAGNA Entertainment. Plaintiff alleges that she was harassed and discriminated against because of her sex, female, and her age, and then retaliated against for having reported those harassments and discriminations.

II. JURISDICTION

2. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 451, 1331, 1337, 1334, 1334, 1345, 1367 (a), and 1391(b)(1)(2). This action is authorized and

instituted pursuant to the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et seq. (hereinafter "ADEA"), Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq. (Title VII); Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991; and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 PS §§ 951 et seq.

III. VENUE

- 3. Venue is appropriate in this judicial district as all parties reside in this judicial district and all relevant acts were committed or occurred in this judicial district.
- 4. Plaintiff timely exhausted his administrative remedies by filing charges with the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunities Commission that were cross-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Plaintiff has attached a copy of the "Right to Sue" letter. Plaintiff has filed the instant suit within 90 days of receipt of the Right to Sue letter.
- 5. The employment practices alleged to be unlawful were committed by the Defendants in and around Washington County, Pennsylvania where Plaintiff worked for Defendants. Therefore, the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania is the proper venue for the action under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

IV. PARTIES

6. Plaintiff is a female individual, 55 years old, who resides at 214 Duke Drive, Washington, PA 15301. At all relevant times hereto, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants Defendant XPRESSBET, Inc and MAGNA Entertainment.

- 7. At all relevant times, Defendant XPRESSBET, was located at P.O. Box 499, Race Track Road; Meadowlands, PA 15347
- 8.. At all relevant times, Defendant MAGNA Entertainment was located at Race Track Road; Meadowlands, PA 15347
- 9. Plaintiff is in a protected class because of her age (55 years old) and sex (female).
- 10. At all relevant times, the Defendants have been continuously doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and have employed at least 20 employees.
- 11. Defendants are and were at all times material to this complaint covered entities and were in an industry affecting commerce within the meaning of § 701 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000(e)(6) as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 43 P.S. § 951 et seq., and all relevant statutes.

V. STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

1. EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION – ADEA and CIVIL RIGHTS ACT

12. Plaintiff filed timely charges of discrimination/harassment against the Defendants with the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter "EEOC") that were cross-filed with the Pennsylvania Human Relations Commission. Plaintiff received a letter, dated March 31, 2005, dismissing the charges and giving her Notice of Right to Sue. This suit was timely filed within 90 days of

receipt of the letter. All administrative conditions precedent have been met by the Plaintiff.

- 13. Beginning in or about 2001, and continuing through June 21, 2004, when the Plaintiff was terminated, the Defendants engaged in unlawful employment practices in and around Washington County, Pennsylvania, in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621 et seq., and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e) et seq., and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, 43 PS §§ 951 et seq. These practices include but are not limited to the following:
 - a. Plaintiff, at all relevant times, was subject to a continuous and ongoing hostile work environment, subject to disparate treatment, harassment, humiliation, and discrimination based on her age and sex, as well as retaliation for complaining regarding the ongoing hostile work environment, disparate treatment, sex and age harassment, and discrimination.
 - b. Defendants continuously discriminated against Plaintiff by subjecting her to continual sex harassment, harassment because she is an older female, verbal abuse, humiliation, embarrassment, and a hostile work environment.
 - c. Defendants, permitted Bruce Levers, 45, male, co-worker to continuously harass and discriminate against Plaintiff because she is an older woman by subjecting her to frequent and aggressive behavior, he challenged Plaintiff's son to a fight in the cafeteria in front of Plaintiff and her daughter, he slammed doors in Plaintiff's face, he intimidated her, he called Plaintiff an "Ass" and he gave Plaintiff dirty looks and he cussed out and gave the finger to a female

co-worker, Joni Westfault. Plaintiff and other females complained of Bruce Levers to management and to the Union steward. Management knew of his harassment against Plaintiff and they refused to take appropriate action to stop such behaviors and to the contrary, they retaliated against Plaintiff for reporting the same.

- d. Defendants, likewise permitted Sam Dino, male, co-worker to continuously harass and discriminate against Plaintiff because she is an older woman. San subjected Plaintiff to frequent and aggressive behavior, using the "F" word frequently, he openly degraded his ex-girlfriend with subjects derogatory to all females, Plaintiff and other females complained of Sam Dino to management and to the Union steward. Management knew of his harassment of females against Plaintiff and they refused to take appropriate action to stop such behaviors and to the contrary, they retaliated against Plaintiff for reporting the same.
- e. A condition of the workplace was accepting daily use of the "F" word, derogatory comments and jokes about women, special privileges for young and cute employees and employees who were flirtatious and/ or having sex with supervisors.
- f. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff by treating her differently: she was not allowed to eat at her terminal, she had to work harder, and others got to turn off their phones when they wanted to go to their cars, to the other side of the track or to do errands. When Plaintiff complained of disparate

treatment to similarly situated employees who were younger or flirtatious/ having sex with management she was retaliated against.

- g. Defendants more harshly disciplined Plaintiff than younger females and a male employee who was flirtatious/ having sex with supervisors.
- h. Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff by terminating her on a pretext, in retaliation for her opposition to Defendants' unlawful harassment, discrimination, abuse, humiliation, creation of a hostile work environment, disparate treatment and retaliation.
- i. Subsequent to Plaintiff's complaints to management regarding the discrimination, harassment, humiliation, and embarrassment she suffered at the hands of managers/supervisors as well as coworkers, she was subjected to intensified discrimination, harassment, humiliation, embarrassment and retaliation for opposing such behavior being directed toward her.
- j. Management/supervisors at Defendants companies were aware of the ongoing pattern of discrimination, harassment, embarrassment, and humiliation to which Plaintiff was subjected, but took no action to curtail or eliminate such.
- 14. Throughout Plaintiff's tenure with Defendants XPRESSBET, Inc and MAGNA Entertainment, Defendants engaged in a continuous and ongoing pattern of unlawful discrimination and harassment against Plaintiff based upon her sex and age and retaliated against her for attempting to resolve the situation, and created and sustained a continuing hostile work environment, all in violation of the ADEA, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, and the PHRA.

- 15. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned conduct of Defendants, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer in the following ways, inter alia:
 - a. Mental anguish, depression and emotional strain;
 - b. Loss of income and benefits;
 - c. Deprivation of ordinary pleasures of life;
 - d. Pain and suffering caused by the daily exposure to a hostile work environment during her employment at the Defendant companies;
 - e. Loss of sleep, stress, and severe anxiety;
 - f. Humiliation and embarrassment;
 - g. Plaintiff's career has been curtailed and sabotaged.
- 16. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise adversely affect her status as an employee because of her sex and age, and in retaliation for her opposition to disparate treatment, a hostile work environment, retaliation, harassment, and discrimination.
- 17. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants and damages as follows:
 - a. That Defendants be permanently enjoined from unlawful harassment and discrimination on the basis of age or sex or opposition to discrimination and harassment.
 - b. That a declaratory judgment be made that such discrimination and harassment are unconstitutional.

- c. That Plaintiff be awarded actual and consequential damages to make Plaintiff whole including back pay with prejudgment interest, front pay and compensation for lost benefits, in an amount to be proven at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of the unlawful employment practices, plus interest;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages to compensate for pain, suffering, emotional distress and humiliation Plaintiff has suffered as a result of the Defendants' outrageous conduct.
- e. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages, in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and to deter similar misconduct.
- f. That Plaintiff be awarded nominal damages;
- g. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this litigation, expert fees and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded such further relief as this Court deems to be just and proper.

2. RELATED STATE CLAIM - EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION (PHRA) - DEFENDANT ANSYS, INC.

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 17 as though they were fully restated herein.
- 19. Plaintiff filed timely charges of discrimination/harassment with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission(EEOC) that were cross filed at the Pennsylvania

Human Relations Commission. Plaintiff was issued letters notifying her of her Right to Sue within 90 days; the instant action is filed within the 90-day limit. The Plaintiff has therefore met all administrative conditions precedent.

- 20. Throughout the tenure of Plaintiff's employment with Defendants XPRESSBET, Inc., and MAGNA Entertainment, the Defendants engaged in unlawful employment practices in and around Washington County, Pennsylvania, in violation of the PHRA.
- 21. Defendants discriminated against and harassed Plaintiff because of her sex and age as detailed above, and in retaliation for her objecting to such harassment and discrimination. She was treated less favorably than other similarly-situated employees and harassed due to her sex and age, and no action was taken by management to end the harassment, discrimination, and abuse.
- 22. Defendants subjected Plaintiff to a hostile work environment, disparate treatment and discrimination as set forth in paragraphs 13 through 15 of this Complaint, all of which caused Plaintiff mental, emotional, and physical suffering.
- 23. The effect of the practices complained of above has been to deprive Plaintiff of equal employment opportunities and otherwise to adversely affect her status as an employee because of her sex and disability, and in retaliation for her objecting to the disparate treatment, harassment and discrimination.
- 24. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants and damages as follows:

- a. That Defendants be permanently enjoined from unlawful harassment and discrimination on the basis of disability or opposition to discrimination and harassment.
- b. That a declaratory judgment be made that such discrimination and harassment are unconstitutional.
- c. That Plaintiff be awarded actual and consequential damages to make Plaintiff whole including back pay with prejudgment interest, front pay and compensation for lost benefits, in an amount to be proven at trial, and other affirmative relief necessary to eradicate the effects of the unlawful employment practices, plus interest;
- d. That Plaintiff be awarded compensatory damages to compensate for pain, suffering, emotional distress and humiliation Plaintiff has suffered as a result of Defendants' outrageous conduct.
- e. That Plaintiff be awarded punitive damages, in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and to deter similar misconduct.
- f. That Plaintiff be awarded nominal damages;
- g. That Plaintiff be awarded the costs of this litigation, expert fees and reasonable attorney's fees; and,
- h. That Plaintiff be awarded such further relief as this Court deems to be just and proper.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Respectfully submitted,
Lois Clarky

Lois Glanby Pa. ID # 80784

Counsel for Plaintiff Renee Christman

152 East Highland Drive McMurray, PA 15317

(724) 942-0524

06/30/2005 TOTAL STATES OF

RECEPT+_

AMOUNT

APPLYING IFP

ALDGE_

MAG. R.DGE_

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The IS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings who other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM) L (a) PLAINTIFFS DEFENDANTS Renee Christman Xoressbet Inc. Magna Entertainment Washington (b) Courty of Residence of First Listed Plan County of Residence of First Listed (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASSS) (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY NOTE: IN LAND CONDENSATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. Attoracys (If Known) (C) Alterney's (Fam Name, Address, and Telephone Number) The Law Offices of Los Gunby 152 East Horsand Drive McM/may, PA 15317 724-942-052 IL BASIS OF JURISDICTION III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES, Place as "N" in One Box for (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendent) **€** 1 U.S. Govern Incorporated or Principal Place 4 **Federal Question** Citizen of This State ים ים Pares ! (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State ☐: U.S. Coversea Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Diversity Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties of Business In Another State in hon III) Citizen or Subject of a 3 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Courter IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) CONTRACT TORTS FORFEITURE PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES | 14 linguage | 130 Marger | 130 Maller Act | 140 Nagarhabb PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 610 Agriculture 422 Appeal 28 USC 153 400 State Reapportsons 362 Personal Injury— Med. Malyractics 620 Other Food & Drug 310 Augus 410 Amiru) 15 Amber 7e 430 Bunks and Bunking 625 Drug Related Sein of Property 21 LSC 811 Laber 28 USC 157 ينبيز ينتبشون إناز 365 Personal Supery — Product Limbility 450 Commerce ICC Rates/etc. ساھ 🗂 TO Associate A 430 Layer Laws 460 Departation ---PROPERTY RIGHTS -268 Aubentes Pers ON Lichner Influenced and -640 R.R. & Truck 650 Airline Regs. 51 Made are Au 130 Federal Employers* lojary Product Labeley Cornet Organizations

610 Selective Service E20 Copyrights Lubbry S Recovery of Date 660 Occupation 270 Pa State Lama (Eacl Veneza) 150 Securios Commo PERSONAL PROPERT Sefery limits 440 Tradement 345 Marine Product Limbiley 170 Other French
171 Truth in Landing
1380 Other Persona ☐ €00 00± Exchange 175 Quatomer Challenge 3 Same y et 0-ey. 190 Mater Vehicle 1355 Mater Vehicle LABOR SOCIAL SECURITY of Veteral's Re 12 USC 3410 291 Agricultural Acta 892 Economic Stabilia 693 Environmental Ma 294 Energy Allocation 895 Freedom of tel Suckholden' Sam Property Descript 710 Fair Labor Standards 1395f) BEA (1395ff) Product Limbber 385 Property Description :# Other Contract BR3 DLA/C/DLA/A, (402(f), BR3 Black (1402 (402(f), 195 Comment Produ 344 Other Person esi Mes 720 Labor Mgrat. Rele 594 Emergy Allocation Act TVX skirt CIZZZ 468 REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RICHTS PRISONERPETITIO: 700 Labor Mgrad Asporting Information Act D 200 Ford Control П 510 Motions to Vacets 44) Verag & Duckberry Act 700 Appeal of Fee FEDERAL TAX SUTTS 29 Fereinan 29 Ras Laur & En 30 Tors & Lauf Employe O Person Sentence 740 Railway Labor Act Under Equal Access to Habes Corpus S70 Team (U.S. Preimit) 130 General 🔲 750 Other Labor Litigation Acres er Defendant) 750 Commissionally of 135 Death Per 540 Market 30 Test Product Linkship 240 AZ Other Real Prop Street Street 440 Other Civil Rights S40 Martiness & Colo 🚺 791 Empl. Rat. Inc. S71 DCS—Third Party 290 Other Statutory Actions 150 Civil Ruchs
555 Presse Cond 550 Chril Ruches Security Act 26 USC 7609 Appeal to District
Adge from
Magistrate
Language

Appeal to District
Adge from
Magistrate
Judgment (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) V. ORIGIN Transferred from nother district Original 2 Removed from State Court Reinstated or 5 (specify) 3 Remarked from Accellate Court VI. CAUSE OF ACTION: (clar the L.S. Cres Souther mater which you are found and write breef at Do not one jurisdictional stanger unless diversity.) Violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1991 & the PA Human Relations Act VIL REQUESTED IN CHECK YES only if despaying in complaint: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 COMPLAINT: JURY DEMAND: □% VIIL RELATED CASE(S) DOCKET IF ANY JUDGE NUMBER DATE TYPE OF ATTAINED BY RECORD è

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THIS CASE DESIGNATION SHEET MUST BE COMPLETED

PARTA				
This case belongs	co the (Erie_	lchustown (X)	Pittsburgh) calendar.	•
		tion arose in the counties of thant resides in one of said	Crawford, Elk, Erie, Forest, N	icKean, Venango
			counter of Bedford, Blair, Can	hais Marrifelder
		dant resides in one of said		total Cleanties of
		: I certify that the cause of		County and
		# in		
4 Complete if or	JOHNSTOWN CA	LENDAR: I certify that th	e cause of action arose in	'-
County and	that the	resides in	County.	
المعادي علما				•
PART B (You a	n to check ONZ of th	e fellowing)		•
IThb o	demit or bander is se	er Jud	8°	i
2 X Tali o	ne is not related to a l	er, Jud pending or terminated case.		
DEFINITIONS	OF RELATED CAS	ESI	•	
CIVIL: Civil es	ses are decemed relates	d when a case filed relates t	to property included in another	suk, or involves the
same issues of fa	ct or k grows out of t) ಕ್ಷಾಣ ಕ್ಷಮಾಗಿದ್ದರಿಗಾ ಶಾ ಶಾಗಿ	other sult, or involves the valid	ity or infringement
cla parest byol	ved in scother sait	• •	•	
			ips and in common ownership :	groups which will
		Hal shall be deemed related		
			titions filed by the same individ	tual shall be deemed
upper VI be	se Civil Nights sector	u by the same individual a	hall be deemed related.	
PARTC				
		only applicable category)	L	
** *	Asticust and Securit			
	Labor-Management I	Relations		
ي بي	Habeas Corpus Civil Nights		•	
4 (X)	Civil Nights			
	Parent, Copyright, at	od Trademark	•	
	Embert Domain	•		
	All other federal que			. 4 . 4 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
L ()	VII beaoust and bee	benta crurte tou crier n	chiding maritime, FELA, Jone	1 VCT WOTOL ASDICAT
			is prosecution, and fabe arrest.	ı
		, contract, and other diver		
10. ()	Geverament Collect	ion Cases (shall include H	EW Student Loans (Education)), VA Overpayment
	Overpryment of 50	CAI Security, Entiropent C	Overpayment (Army, Navy, etc.	.), NUD LOSES, UNO
			S.B.A. Loms, Civil Prosities	me Cost Wast Learn)
•	and Rechamation Fe	res.)		• • •
I certify tha	s to the best of my kn	owledge the entries on thi	s Case Designation Sheet are to	nie and correct.
Dute:		_	•	
			ATTORNE	EYATLAW

NOTE: ALL SECTIONS OF BOTH SIDES MUST BE COMPLETED BEFORE CASE CAN BE PROCESSED.